

## REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance are requested.

The specification is amended in light of U.S. patent practice. No new matter is believed to have been added.

Claim 27 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Claim 27 is canceled. Withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph is requested.

Claims 1 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Claim 1 is amended to clarify that it is the terminal device being referred to. The phrase “or the like” is deleted from claim 17. Withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph is requested.

Most of the claims stand rejected for anticipation stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 based on USP 5,850,344 to Konkright. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

To establish that a claim is anticipated, the Examiner must point out where each and every limitation in the claim is found in a single prior art reference. *Scripps Clinic & Research Found. v. Genentec, Inc.*, 927 F.2d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Every limitation contained in the claims must be present in the reference, and if even one limitation is missing from the reference, then it does not anticipate the claim. *Kloster Speedsteel AB v. Crucible, Inc.*, 793 F.2d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Konkright fails to satisfy this rigorous standard.

Konkright describes a system 10 for reminding patients to take their medication at specific times. A monitoring facility 13, including a computer 36 and a patient register 50, activates a paging function at a paging system 12 when a patient is to be informed regarding drug administration. A paging signal is sent from paging transceiver 30 to a patient communicator 11 that converts the paging signal to a text display message (see Fig. 1 display 15) and to an audio

signal at audio alarm 23. When the patient takes his/her medicament, he/she presses a confirmation button 22 on the patient communicator 11 to send a signal back to the monitoring facility 13. The patient communicator 11 can communicate with a medicament dispenser 25 via data ports 24 and 52, respectively, in order to lock the dispenser 25 if the ID numbers transmitted from the monitoring facility to the patient communicator do not match with an ID circuit in the dispenser. The dispenser 25 can also transmit a confirmation signal to the patient communicator 11 that medicament in fact has been administered. Further, the dispenser 25 may include a modem 56 to transmit data to the monitoring facility 13 if the paging system 12 is not functioning.

Claim 1 recites a medicament device communicating with a terminal device. Conkright fails to teach a medicament device that includes “a memory arranged for obtaining and storing in memory patient-specific information related to specific medication of the patient and information from said detector” and “a communication device for communication with a wireless communication system arranged for transmission of data related to said information related to specific medication of the patient, which transmission of data is activated depending on information from said detector.” In Conkright, the dispenser 25 does not have memory that obtains and stores “patient-specific information related to specific medication of the patient and information from said detector.” All that is disclosed is are ID circuits 53 and 54. In addition, the dispenser 25 can only notify the communicator 11 that the medicament has been administered. No data related to “patient-specific information related to specific medication of the patient and information from said detector” is communicated by the dispenser 25.

Dependent claims 6 and X further recite that the “patient-specific information related to specific medication of the patient and information from said detector” includes “a patient

specific medication event indicating that an incorrect amount of medication was dispensed for the patient or that medication prescribed for the patient was not dispensed at a designated time.”

This quoted text comes from the non-limiting examples described on page 19 of the instant specification. Conkright simply does not disclose this feature.

The application is in condition for allowance. An early notice to that effect is requested. If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would be helpful, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

**NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.**

By: \_\_\_\_\_



John R. Lastova  
Reg. No. 33,149

JRL:maa  
901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor  
Arlington, VA 22203-1808  
Telephone: (703) 816-4000  
Facsimile: (703) 816-4100